

March 16, 1978

LB 92A, 620

PRESIDENT: LB 92A.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Ed Dvorak makes a motion to reconsider our action on final passage of 92A.

PRESIDENT: Senator Dvorak

SENATOR DVORAK: Mr. President and colleagues. I request reconsideration of LB 92 because I neglected to vote yesterday when it was up and I think that I was either on the telephone or I was engrossed in reading another bill. I would appreciate the bill be reconsidered.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion? Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Mr. President, members of the body. This is the A bill that goes with LB 92, we failed to advance it yesterday because of the fact that some of the members were not in the Chamber. You will recall that this particular bill 92 was the one that transfers the Medicaid costs from the county to the state. That is 12 percentage points of a total of 20. That leaves 8 percentage points on the county, transfers 12 to the state. This, I would encourage you to vote to advance this particular bill. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Goodrich, do you remember on a Friday when you had great pleasure stalling one of my bills, not mine but a committee bill until all the time ran out? I told you a day of reckoning was coming. Well today is not that day.

PRESIDENT: Record your vote. Please vote. Please vote. This is a reconsideration of a matter that failed on Final Reading, the Constitution requires 30 votes. Have you all voted? Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 11 nays on the motion to reconsider, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: The motion prevails. We shall reconsider 92A. Senator Goodrich, we had an order to hold 92 yesterday. 92A I'm sure will be put on the Final Reading agenda tomorrow and then they can both go. We go to LB 620. Senator Chambers motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Chambers moves to set LB 620 for debate on March 20th for 30 minutes. It is essentially to change the Speaker's order motion offered by Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, and members of the Legislature, this LB 620 is the bill, which in its original form, was to abolish the tax on food. I had sent around an amendment this morning which will replace the bill, and it has been printed in the Journal. It would totally strike out all provisions of the bill as it stands now and would render it a vehicle for merely raising the credit on food. I have asked that only a half hour be given to it because I don't see any reason why

07853